

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/550,516 | 05/26/2006 | Staffan Stromblad | P07900US01/BAS | 4509 | |
| 881 STITES & HA | 7590 08/08/2008 RBISON PLLC | | EXAMINER | | |
| 1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET | | | PACKARD, BENJAMIN J | | |
| SUITE 900 ALEXANDRI | A. VA 22314 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | ., | | 1612 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/08/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/550,516
 STROMBLAD ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Beniamin Packard
 1612

| | Benjamin Packard | 1612 | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Benjamin Packard</u> . | (3)Mr. Stephen Weyer. | | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Frederick Krass</u> . | (4) | | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>05 August 2008</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2 | 2)⊠ applicant's representative | •] | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 3-5. | | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Bykov and Hartmann et al</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g | ı)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N | I/A. | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed amendment and prior art. Discussed the need to amend claims to specificy the melenoma. Also discussed the breadth of the compound class:</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview | | | | | | | |
| requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | | |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Supervisor, Art Unit 1612
Examiner's signature, if required

/Frederick Krass/